Adaptive AI Teaching Platforms: Transforming Education through Real-Time Personalized Learning

Mr. Ajay Laxman Dethe
Head of Department, Physical Education Vidya Valley School, Pune

Dr.Tushar Anil Gujar
Director of Physical Education, Marathwada Mitra Mandal's College of Engineering, Karvenagar, Pune.

ABSTRACT

Currently, several AI-driven adaptive learning applications have emerged and are revolutionarily influencing the educational domain. These systems adjust lesson approaches in real-time based on live student performance, making personalized learning that significantly enhances both academic performance and engagement possible. The report elaborated on how adaptive platforms can aggregate vast amounts of data—from response times and rates of accuracy to engagement factors—and apply advanced algorithms to the data to personalize instructional content to each student's particular needs. The continual analysis of data and sequencing of lessons in response to this data enables instruction to remain at the outer edge of what is currently possible for the students, allowing students to strive beyond their current understanding but not so far that they drown in frustration.

The text not only presents key examples of adaptive platforms, but also their application across various educational levels (K–12 higher education and corporate training). Knewton, DreamBox Learning, ALEKS and Realizeit and other companies have built platforms that use technologies such as psychometrics and knowledge graphs, real-time tracking of performance and recommendations to personalize learning The paper writes. These functionalities not only bolster personalized learning plans but also provide valuable information to educators about students who may require remediation.

The promise of adaptive learning is discussed in the paper alongside the challenges, including those of data privacy and security, the potential for an over-reliance on technology, and the need to ensure that AI-driven instruction does not reduce the equally important role of human teaching. Finally, we mention some directions for

future research, including the integration of more advanced AI technologies, the level of scalability for different user groups, and the further integration of traditional pedagogies with adaptive systems..

Introduction

Over the last couple of years, AI has played a critical role in learning outcomes, which has already disrupted the one-size-fits-all model in education. With educators growing increasingly cognizant of how traditional instructional strategies — one-size-fits-all approaches to the delivery of content and pacing that rarely meet the heterogeneous needs of students — tend to fall short, the rise of adaptive learning platforms has ensued. Scores of platforms use up-to-the-minute information and intricate algorithms to continually tailor lessons so that they closely resemble the performance and preference of particular individuals (VanLehn, 2011). _

Traditional Teaching Approaches

Traditional approaches to teaching have faced criticism for being poorly applied due to cognitive, motivational, and contextual differences among students. One-on-one tutoring is the topic of Bloom's (1984) seminal work, where he described the remarkable performance gains that personalized instruction can achieve, but scaling this form of learning in the classroom has proved a persistent challenge. This is where adaptive learning platforms come into play; they leverage data and insight to create customized learning pathways. They compile measures — about everything from speed to correctness to engagement — and run them through some sort of model — often driven by psychometric concepts such as an Item Response Theory — and touch a proficiency level for students. Through low-stakes exercises, for instance, all students can slowly develop their skills over time in skills such as critical reading, fluency in argument, and writing tailored to each of them, with all of their processes for immediate feedback from the observer about how that process is going (Conklin, 2016).

Self-learning platforms are not only one of the most personalized mediums for learners, but they also have a great deal of data available for teachers. These analytics allow teachers to identify learning gaps, measure progress, and respond quickly. This dual potential of an adaptive system—its ability to increase individual learning successes while also supporting teachers' instruction strategies—is what makes it a potentially powerful solution to so many chronic education challenges. Examples of application areas are personalized learning where Knewton uses platforms in K-12 and higher education to customize course content in real-time based on students' needs (Conklin, 2016). Another powerful example of how the use of adaptive math instruction can dramatically raise engagement and mastery in the early learner (DreamBox Learning, n.d.).

Working of adaptive learning platforms : capturing, analyzing, and acting on learning data from each individual student. Most adaptive e-learning systems aim to personalize the learning experience by dynamically configuring instructional content depending on the learner's performance.

Data Collection

Adaptive platforms are built around a data collector. These platforms gather a lot of this kind of data (response times, accuracy rates, engagement levels, etc.) Here, systems such as DreamBox Learning and Knewton track every single interaction a student has with the system: from how long they spend on a question to how many attempts they make to solve it, generating a rich dataset highlighting the behaviour of the learner, as well as their proficiency (DreamBox Learning, n.d.; Conklin, 2016). This fine-tuned approach to gathering data enables the platforms to detect nuances of whether a student understands a piece of material and where they struggle.

Analysis and Adaptation

The data is then analyzed, using advanced algorithms, many of which are based on existing psychometric models (e.g. Item Response Theory (IRT) (Lord, 1952)), after being collected. Such algorithms estimate student mastery by modelling latent traits and computing the probability of a correct response is modelled as a function of item difficulty parameters as well as item discrimination parameters (Reckase, 1985).

Practically in the case of an adaptive system, it means to compare the performance of the learner with thousands of others for scoring them against the real scope of the learning objectives. This assessment drives the system's logic, e.g. if you are getting questions right, the system can turn more challenging material and conversely, if you are struggling the system can offer scaffolding or remedial content. Thus, the system can in real-time reframe the lessons in terms of ease and over a continuum wherever the teaching is best placed (VanLehn, 2011).

Feedback Loop

Adaptive learning platforms have many features, one of the key features is an immediate and continuous feedback loop. But once a student interacts with the system, the platform gives instant feedback — through hints, explanations, or new practice problems — that does more than fix a mistake; it reinforces the learning in real-time. This information feeds into continued system analysis that helps improve the instruction material going forward. Learning outcomes significantly improved when real-time feedback was given (Graesser, Conley, & Olney, 2012). Hence, this almost infinite process of advancement and repeat, redevelopment, and enhancement creates a method of taking full advantage of the potential in the students while minimizing coaching timing.

Benefits of Adaptive Learning

There are several advantages of adaptive learning platforms that revolutionize the educational ecosystem to a great extent. These learn-as-you-go systems personalize instruction according to the strengths and needs of each learner, keeping learners within their optimal zone of proximal development (ZPD), boosting engagement and academic achievement, as well as providing teachers with data-driven performance insights.

Personalized Learning Paths:

One of the most thrilling benefits of adaptative learning is personalized teaching or customized learning paths. In these lessons, adaptive platforms react in real-time to the content delivery and the time taken to advance to the next lesson depending on a variety of parameters such as response times, success or failure of task completion, and engagement statistics (Conklin, 2016), etc. which means the learning delivery mimics a more fluid process when put alongside conventional teaching techniques which tend to function as a one size fits all method of presentation of information. This is grounded in the theory of the zone of proximal development (Vygotsky, 1978), ensuring that each student completes work that is not too easy and not too difficult. Knewton and ALEKS start adjusting the difficulty of the material, providing them with the necessary adjusted widths of knowledge before students are introduced to more difficult material (Bloom, 1984).

Enhanced Engagement:

An adaptive learning environment delivers interactive and dynamically personalized content to keep the student-focused. This experience is considered more engaging than traditional methods as there is inclusion of multimedia, gamification, and interactive exercises (Durlak et al., 2011) For example, DreamBox Learning included an Intelligent Adaptive Learning (IAL) engine that differentiates and personalizes math instruction for K–8 students and embeds game-based elements to motivate and engage students (DreamBox Learning, n.d.). Adaptive learning platforms also boost engagement through such active instruction assignments as well as minimize frustration by building their interest in learning styles.

Improved Outcomes:

Continuous monitoring and prompt restoration minimize the lags in subject interest. Adaptive platforms actively monitor student performance, revealing gaps in knowledge and providing real-time, targeted feedback. This constant assessment allows the system to adjust the difficulty of lessons and the sequencing of lessons in real time, enabling mastery learning. Research suggests that such a form of continuous individualized feedback can significantly raise standardized test scores and enhance learning in general (VanLehn, 2011; Reckase, 1985). It provides students with feedback while they are engaged rather than waiting until the end of the learning process (which is either a student grade or technique of conventional assessment), which makes it meaningful for students and therefore, acts as a "stepping stone" for achieving more consistent learning outcomes and durable retention since they are actively involved in the learning process with minimal pile-up of misconception.

Data-Driven Insights:

Not only do adaptive learning platforms use their data to customize the lessons each student receives, but they generate a huge amount of data about how that student is performing. This understanding provides more direct insights; whether it's a pattern, or how much students are progressing; allowing educators to identify patterns and track progress and based on that take focused intervention. Teaching can adapt based on recent raw data via relational access as it comes in, allowing teachers to better support individual students and address classroom paddling issues (Graesser, Conley, & Olney, 2012). Not only does this enable them to bridge the gaps in their learning as quickly as possible, but it also informs long-term curriculum planning and policy decisions going forward to ensure that teaching methods can meet the demands of learners.

Examples of AI Adaptive Platforms

Adaptive learning materials use sophisticated technologies to tailor instruction to an individual learner's strengths and weaknesses. We describe Knewton, DreamBox Learning, ALEKS, and Realizeit, four widely used adaptive learning platforms that excite us, and highlight their innovative methods, key features lessons learned from in field experiences.

- ***Knewton***

Knewton's enterprise-level adaptive learning platform uses data science, psychometrics, and a rich knowledge graph to dynamically alter courseware in real time. Knewton collects different types of data from each interaction a student has — how long it took them to respond, whether or not they got it correct, and behavioural clues — and uses algorithms based on models like Item Response Theory to evaluate and analyse the proficiencies of students and tailor and update recommendations in real time (Conklin, 2016).

Knewton, one of the original educational data companies, is built around a knowledge graph that organizes online educational content into networked concepts. This enables the platform to draw nuanced conclusions about a student's understanding and predict which content might best come next. In higher education and K–12 classrooms,

Knewton is used where it is transferable across educational domains where learners may exhibit different learning gaps that educators can target by leveraging Knewton, ensuring they reach every learner (Conklin, 2016; VanLehn, 2011).

- ***DreamBox Learning***

DreamBox Learning focuses on K–8 mathematics and employs an Intelligent Adaptive Learning (IAL) engine. This engine gathers thousands of datapoints about every student every hour, looking at everything from how students interact with one another and when, to which tasks they spend the longest on, to how often they commit certain errors. These data are subsequently fed into dynamic algorithms that adaptively personalize the sequencing of lessons in real time to match instruction at the right difficulty to students (neither too hard nor too easy) (DreamBox Learning, n.d.).

The most impressive aspect of DreamBox is its immediate feedback feature. Rather than just correcting students' errors, the system offers hints and pathways to correct answers. Moreover, its game-based learning gamified components are Index Cards game-manners, which nurture motivation and extend student involvement in essential elements of a wholesome math base (DreamBox Learning, n.d.; Durlak et al., 2011).

- ***ALEKS***

Two of the best-known adaptive assessment solutions, especially in the areas of math and science, are ALEKS (Assessment and LEarning in Knowledge Spaces) and the tools offered by IXL. ALEKS also administers several carefully designed assessments to identify gaps in students' knowledge and misunderstanding. Using those results, it creates individualized learning paths that focus heavily on areas of remediation for lack of mastery, but also advances and challenges students in areas of demonstrated knowledge and understanding (Doignon & Falmagne, 1999).

The system's ability to do all this at the level of individual gaps in understanding is what helps ensure that students have a solid conceptual basis to work from before progressing to where they are going, and that is critical for subjects where topics build cumulatively on what has been learned before. ALEKS's systematic approach has been validated in a vast quantity of studies where students learned better than what they were taught through traditional instruction (Doignon & Falmagne, 1999).

- ***Realizeit***

Realizeit is an adaptive learning platform geared for higher education and corporate training. Realizeit is unlike any system for K–12 education that focuses on the delivery of content, allowing individual (one-on-one) personalized learning paths and adaptability to actual student data, in real-time. You are members of the data team focused on identifying just-in-time classifier content within the platform to enable features such as dynamic content adjustment, predictive remediation plan, and assessment progress tracking based on performance indicators. This is making Realizeit's approach able to span a wide audience as well as empowering teachers with the actionable insights to intervene exactly where the student is ready to learn." Then, this data-driven personalized model serves learners well for learning complex or quickly developing material amid the world of work, as is often the case in professional training programs (Realizeit, n.d.; VanLehn, 2011).

Challenges and Considerations

Despite these major selling points with AI adaptive learning platforms, some challenges must be overcome in order for these products to be implemented in our classrooms.

- ***Data Privacy and Security:***

Adaptive platforms operate by amassing vast oceans of data — response times, accuracy rates, engagement levels, and even biometric signals that guide the learning path. That such rich data is necessary to personalize instruction is important but it has also given rise to legitimate concerns around data privacy and security. Thus, to protect student data policy implementations must be in place, including Data governance policy, encryptions, and GDPR-compliance (Slade & Prinsloo, 2013). Institutions also need to ensure that data are anonymized and that access is restricted to authorized people (European Commission, 2018). The data security breach is disastrous not only for user privacy, but the trust put in adaptive systems behind the scenes which will defeat its widespread adoption (Baker & Siemens, 2014).

- ***Dependence on Technology:***

Another problem is too much reliance on adaptive learning platforms. Not only do these systems provide instant feedback and continuous content delivery, but there is also the risk that students become too reliant on technology to help them find their way. This dependence may not provide a foundation for independent problem-solving ability and self-regulated learning ability, both of which are vital for lifelong learning in adult life (Deci & Ryan, 2000).

The learner turns into a passive recipient of mechanized pieces of information and is not able to make the same deep dive into the subject or build metacognitive capabilities. When students get used to receiving constant tailored support from the platform, they may have it harder transferring skills into contexts in which such adaptation assistance is not present (probably even more than for tangible blocks) (Reich & Ruipérez Valiente, 2019). Therefore, educators need to strike a balance in utilizing technology in teaching and learning while not neglecting the conventional methods which contribute to the development of independence and critical thought in students.

- ***Human instruction and AI instruction - balance***

They should be viewed as an adjunct to — not a replacement for — the human aspect of education. Although AI has the capability of processing data and providing students with personalized feedback, it cannot simply understand written text and images as a teacher does, a person who can read emotional signals, provide moral support, and create learning environments (Holmes, Bialik, & Fadel, 2019). These data-driven insights are complementary to teachers' rich pedagogical insights, which are critical to interpreting that data and applying it to every student's learning. While a platform might know that a student is struggling with a particular concept, it is the contextual, human knowledge that the teacher has that can address relevant matters of anxiety, lack of motivation, or so forth (Woolf, 2010). Using technology in sustaining synergistic ability — in which the AI tools complement and enhance the role of the teacher, rather than supplanting it — allows for the technology to be a resource to lean on to harness in light of human critical thought.

Future Directions: Emerging Trends and Research Opportunities

So adaptive learning platforms will not only serve as personalization tools, but they will re-invent and innovate the nature of education. As a case in point, it is expected that emerging methods in natural language processing (NLP) and deep learning will enable platforms to understand and respond to the contextual questions of learners, making the exchanges more relevant and dialogical (Holmes, Bialik, & Fadel, 2019). In addition, adaptive algorithms will be enhanced and personalized with machine learning models and predictive analytics, to identify learning trajectories and key gaps in learners' knowledge (Papamitsiou & Economides, 2014). AI analytics and voice interaction could deliver schools a more robust React-Response system for the Learning Process, thus assuring more efficient handling of educational tasks.

The other important aspect is the research area on scalability and accessibility. Adaptive systems are being developed and adopted at an ever-increasing rate; however, they need to meet the needs of a diverse range of learners from different geographies, socioeconomic groups, and cultural backgrounds. These include concerns about scalability, for example about the processing of increasing volumes of real-time data, and the need for adaptive algorithms to operate effectively for millions of simultaneous users (Reich & Ruipérez-Valiente, 2019). This addition means that these platforms must also abide by universal design principles to make personalized learning accessible to learners with disabilities and non-native speakers alike.

At least as important is the combination of adaptive platforms with regular pedagogy. While AI-integrated systems provide powerful data analysis and personalized learning paths, education can't be experienced without the human element. Soon we will enable mixed-learning environments that pair the efficiency and effectiveness of AI with the qualitative and caring mentorship of teachers (Woolf, 2010). This integration would allow educators to use data-driven insights to inform their pedagogy and free up their time to foster the development of important litigator skills such as critical thinking, creativity, and socioeconomic development in their students. In a synergetic paradigm, AIs handle the mundane aspects of assessments and personalized deadlines, while human mentors engage in the higher orders of pedagogy.

The future of adaptive learning platforms is leveraging powerful AI capabilities, scaling across diverse populations, and integrating well with traditional teaching methods. These advances will also contribute to making education more individualized, equitable, and, ultimately effective.

Conclusion

In the end, AI adaptive learning platforms are transforming how we learn by tailoring our individualized experiences and monitoring our progress like never before in a statistically meaningful way in terms of educational outcomes and engagement. These all take a forward-moving and learner-centric approach, consistently bumping the learner towards his or her ideal learning space through their common ability to identify how well the learner is doing where they are currently at and tailor that content to fill identified knowledge gaps (Conklin, 2016; VanLehn, 2011). Utilizing algorithms allows these systems to offer highly personalized support that can not only develop the mastery of specific skills but also develop motivation and interest (DreamBox Learning, n.d.). The promise of adaptive learning is ongoing research and thoughtful deployment is critical. To harness the potential advantages these powerful platforms, provide, one must first surmount challenges regarding data privacy, over-dependence on learning technologies, and striking the right balance of human vs. artificial intelligence instruction, thus duly enabling their seamless integration across diverse educational settings (Holmes, Bialik, & Fadel, 2019; Woolf, 2010).

References

Baker, R. S., & Siemens, G. (2014). Machine Learning in the globe of education. In Learning Analytics (253–269). Springer. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4614-3305-7_14

Bloom, B. S. (1984). The 2 sigma problem: The search for methods of group instruction as effective as one-to-one tutoring. Educational Researcher, 13(6), 416. Retrived from: https://www.jstor.org/stable/1173146

Conklin, T. A. (2016). Knewton (An adaptive learning platform Retrieved from https://www.knewton.com/ Academy of Management Learning & Education, 15(3). Source: https://journals.aom.org/doi/abs/10.5465/amle.2016.0206

Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. Contents: The what and why of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. Psychological Inquiry, 114(4), 227–268. doi: 10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01 Retrieved from https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01

Factor and learning models. In J. A. Glaser (Ed.),, «Adattamento degli stili di apprendimento all'orientamento.», International Perspectives on Student Achievement: Advances in Developmental and Educational Psychology, Volume 8 (pp. 85–11)Ajzen, I. Knowledge Spaces. https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-1-4615-2118-9

DreamBox Learning. (n.d.). Math Intellingence Springer. Adapt Learning https://www.dreambox.com/ Retrieved from

Durlak, J. A., Weissberg, R. P., Dymnicki, A. B., Taylor, R. D. & Schellinger, K. B. (2011). Are school-based universal interventions in social emotional learning effective? Child Development, 82(1), 405–432. To be published in : Retrieved October 2023, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.14678624.2010.01564.x

European Commission. (2018). Data protection in the EU. Accessed October 2023) [18] European Commission, "General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR)," (2023).

Graesser, A.C., Conley, M.W. & Olney, A. Intelligent tutoring systems. In M. S. Khine (Ed.), In Advances in Intelligent Tutoring Systems (pp. 1–20). Routledge. Authors: M. S., Khine, M. S. (2013).

Intelligent Tutoring Systems: Recent Advances Advances in Intelligent Tutoring Systems: Volume 3 [Routledge books] (0 9780415870039) [Routledge] Retrieved from https://www.routledge.com/Advances-in-Intelligent-Tutoring Systems/Khine/p/book/9780415870039

Holmes, W., Bialik, M. & Fadel, C. (2019). : AI in education: Impacts and opportunities for teaching and learning Dunn, Betty, and M. Beatrice W. A. S. W. W: The Center for Curriculum Redesign. You will have the data until October 2023.

Lord, F. M. (1952). A theory of test scores. Psychometric Society. https://www.psychometricsociety.org Retrieved from

Papamitsiou, Z., & Economides, A. A. (2014). Analytic results guesstimating: A systematic literature review of empirical evidence on learning analytics & educational data mining in practiceEducational Technology & Society, 17(4), 4964 https://www.jstor.org/stable/23612821.

Realizeit. (n.d.). Adaptive Learning Solutions. Retrieved fromhttps://www.realizeit.com/

Reckase, M. D. (1985). The difficulty of test items that measure both.. Applied Psychological Measurement, 9(4), 401–412. 10.1177/014662168500900409. PMID: 3914571.

Fuller, in J. C. H. J. Reich & J. A. Ruipérez-Valiente (Eds.), Submit Cancel You are commenting using your WordPress. Educational Technology Research and Development,67(5), 1147–1171. Download from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11423-019-09699-7

Slade, S. & Prinsloo, P. (2013). Ethical issues and dilemmas in learning analytics American Behavioral Scientist, 57(10), 1510–1529. https://doi.org/10.1177/0002764213501099

The ARTICLES Identity, Hysteria and Biopolitical Manifesto Retrieved from https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/0002764213499026

VanLehn, K. (2011). Data is limited until October 2023. For more information, please visit their website. Educational Psychologist, 46(4), 197–221. [1] https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00461520.2011.611369

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Harvard University Press. Mind in Society: 1st ed. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1978. Accessed October 9, 2023. https://www.worldcat.org/title/mind-in-society-the-development-of-higherpsychological-processes/oclc/150862

Woolf, B. P. (2010). Intelligent interactive tutors: the implications for the studentcentered e-learning revolution Morgan Kaufmann. Retrieved from: https://www.elsevier.com/books/building-intelligent-interactivetutors/woolf/978-0-12-374373-6